NATURAL RESOURCE ECONOMICS

14.42 LECTURE PLAN 16: APRIL 21, 2011

Hunt Allcott

PASTURE 1: OVERVIEW

Taxonomy of resources.

Question: What are examples of depletable, renewable, and expendable resources?

Depletable	Renewable	Expendable
Oil	Forests	Wind
Natural gas	Fish	Grains
	Groundwater (?)	Solar radiation

Point out that the categorization depends really on how you would sensibly model them. E.g. Oil does replenish, but very slowly.

PASTURE 2: TWO PERIOD MODEL, EXOGENOUS PRICE Model 1:

<u>Setup:</u> Two periods. Exogenous prices, no uncertainty Maximum total availability: $Q_f = E_0 + E_1$

Cost = C(E)

 $\label{eq:rescaled_field} \begin{array}{l} \hline Firm maximizes profits: \\ \pi=p_0E_0-C(E_0)+\delta \left[p_1E_1-C(E_1)\right] \ s.t. \ Q_f=E_0+E_2 \end{array}$

Question: What conditions do we need on cost function to get an interior solution? dC/dE>0 $d^2C/dE^2>0$ Example cost function: $C(E)=\gamma E+\theta E^2$

Sub in resource constraint:
$$\begin{split} \pi = p_0 E_0 &- C(E_0) + \delta \left[p_1 \cdot (Q_f - E_0) - C(Q_f - E_0) \right] \\ \text{Take derivative:} \\ p_0 &- C'(E_0) = \delta [p_1 - C'(E_2)] \end{split}$$

Question: What is the verbal interpretation of this?

Answers:

- We have a fixed amount of resource. Equalize the NPV of the value of exploiting the resource now versus later.
- MB=MD interpretation (pollution analogy)
- MS=MC interpretation (pollution abatement analogy)
- Supply and demand analogy. Demand in period 1 is in essence the supply in period 0.
- Draw figure

Question: What if I relax the resource constraint, i.e. make Q_f bigger? Answer: Then we have a standard non-scarce good, and production in the two periods is independent.

Model 2: Hotelling Costs

"Hotelling costs": Constant marginal costs in each period c_t Corner solution: Extract all in period 0 if: $(p_0 - c) > \delta(p_1 - c)$

Result: Unless prices fall, we extract everything now.

Question: What does this mean for what prices have to be? Push question: what if all firms homogeneous but there is non-zero demand elasticity? Then need to have some extraction in P0 and some in P1 in order for prices not to be zero or infinite.

Things we know:

Profits grow but PV of profits shrinks? Resource will be totally depleted?

PASTURE 3: LAGRANGIAN

Question: How many people know what the LaGrangian is? **Model 3: Two Period LaGrangian** Same as Model 1 above $\pi=p_0E_0-C(E_0)+\delta [p_1E_1-C(E_1)]$ s.t. $Q_f=E_0+E_1$

 $\mathbf{L} = p_0 E_0 - C(E_0) + \delta \left[p_1 E_1 - C(E_1) \right] + \lambda \left[Q_{f^-}(E_0 + E_1) \right]$

 $dL/dE_0 = p_0 - C'(E_0)-\lambda=0$ $dL/dE_0 = \delta [p_1 - C'(E_1)]-\lambda=0$

Question: What is λ mathematically? $\lambda = \delta[p_1 - C'(E_1)]$

$\lambda = \delta \left[p_0 - C'(E_0) \right]$

Question: What is λ in words?

Answer: Opportunity cost of extracting the marginal unit, in present value Also known as the scarcity rent, shadow value, shadow price, shadow cost. **** Perhaps the most important concept in this class.

Question: What if the constraint didn't bind? What's the value of λ ? $\lambda{=}0$

Notice that this now looks like a standard (abundant, non-scarce) resource.

• PowerPoint vignette: Betting the Planet

Model 4: Multi-Period LaGrangian

 $= \Sigma_t \delta^t [p_t E_t - C(E_t)] + \lambda [Q_f - (\Sigma_t E_t)]$ General solution: $\delta^t [p_t - C'(E_t)] = \lambda$ $p_t - C'(E_t) = \lambda / \delta^t$ "Markup rises at the interest rate."

Intuition: Storable good: I can store money or store the good between periods. I should be indifferent between storing those two things

<u>Hotelling's Rule:</u> Assuming Hotelling Costs: $p_t = c_t + \lambda/\delta^t$ If marginal cost is constant, price rises at the interest rate

Question: What's the present value of the resource? $\Sigma_t \delta^t \left[p_t E_t - C(E_t) \right] = \lambda Q_f$ Intuition?

• PowerPoint Vignette: Are we running out of natural gas?

PASTURE 4: DEMAND SIDE, PRICES, AND EQUILIBRIUM

<u>Setup:</u> <u>Supply Side</u> Supply has Hotelling costs. Firms are price takers (competitive supply) Total resource availability: Q_T=FQ_f F=number of firms

Equilibrium prices:

For an interior solution (with production in each period), firms must be indifferent, so the Hotelling Rule has to hold:

 $\begin{aligned} \delta^{t} & [p_{t} - c_{t}] = \lambda \\ p_{t} &= c_{t} + \lambda / \delta^{t} \end{aligned}$

Demand Side Demand: $E_t=A-BP_t$ $E_0=[A_0-c_0-\lambda]/B$ $\lambda=\delta[p_1-c_1]$ $\lambda=A-BE_0-c_0$

Equilibrium Quantities: $E_0 = [A_0 - \delta A_1 - c_0 + \delta c_1 + \delta Q_T B] / [B(1+\delta)]$

<u>Comparative static</u>: Technological change How to model? Cost reductions. What is your intuition? Naïve intuition: Cost reductions should reduce price, increase quantity

 $E_0 \mbox{ and } E_1$: sum is fixed, so quantity can't increase

 E_0 and E_1 : really depend on the relative cost reductions between the two periods: it still matters when it is more profitable to extract.

If E_0 and E_1 stay the same, then price remains the same, and consumers do not gain at all from cost reduction. Firms capture it all through increase in scarcity rents.

<u>Comparative Static: Exploration.</u> Question: How to model this? Answer: An increase in Q_f This increases E in both periods and decreases price.

<u>Comparative Static: Demand Growth</u> Unanticipated vs. anticipated: If unanticipated, Hotelling rule no longer holds If anticipated, Hotelling rule holds and E_0 is lower while E_1 is higher than without demand growth.

<u>Comparative Static: Discount Factor</u> What if the discount factor decreases (interest rate increases)? Firms extract more now, less later. If firms' discount rate different from social discount rate, we are off the optimum.

Social Optimum

Question: Is this the social optimum? Answer: Yes. There are no market failures.

Dynamic efficiency. Maximize social surplus over periods. Two period model – graphical. Question: is it "fair" to consume more now? Yes! Intertemporal pareto efficiency. This is not "sustainable," however: we are consuming

PASTURE 6: DEVIATIONS FROM SOCIAL OPTIMUM

Model 1: OPEC/Cartels

 $max_{E}\Sigma_{t} \, \delta^{t} \left[p_{t}(E_{t})E_{t} - c_{t}E_{t} \right] + \lambda \left[Q_{T} - \Sigma_{t}E_{t} \right]$

MR-MC-λ=0

 $\mathsf{MR}=\mathsf{MC}{+}\lambda$

Looks like the normal monopoly condition, except now there is a shadow price added to marginal cost. $A_0-2BE_0 - c_0 = \delta[A_1-2BE_1 - c_1]$

 $=\delta[A_1-2B(Q_T-E_0)-c_1]$

 $E_0 = [A_0 - \delta A_1 - c_0 + \delta c_1 + 2B\delta Q_T] / [2B(1+\delta)]$

Model 2: Externalities Externalities from national security or climate change

Other Extensions Possible:

Substitutes elastically available at a given marginal cost – substitution from oil to solar, for example. Stock effects on cost: solve sufficient conditions Numerical solutions

14.42 / 14.420 Environmental Policy and Economics Spring 2011

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: http://ocw.mit.edu/terms.