MIT OpenCourseWare http://ocw.mit.edu

3.22 Mechanical Properties of Materials Spring 2008

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: http://ocw.mit.edu/terms.

Mechanical Behavior of a Virus



cowpea chlorotic mottle virus

Agustin Mohedas, Kevin Huang, Heechul Park, Jing (Meghan) Shan MIT Department of Materials Science and Engineering Cambridge, MA 02139 USA

Big Picture

• Phenonmenon: Failure of viral capsids

- Viral capsids = proteinaceous outer shell of viruses that enclose highlypacked genetic material under high pressure
- Capsomers = subunits that make up the capsid





Image removed due to copyright restrictions. Please see Fig. 1 in Kaiser, Jocelyn. "A One-Size-Fits-All Flu Vaccine?" *Science* 312 (21 April 2006): 380-382.



- Material Class: Proteinaceous biological materials
- Motivation:
 - Understanding viral release of genetic materials
 - Gene therapy
 - Biomimetic nanocontainers for drug delivery
 - Antiviral Vaccines

Microscopic mechanism

Lennard-Jones Potential Model

R

- Explains the equilibrium structure of viral capsids.
- Force Balance: R<R(eq.): Repulsive force = Compressive stress

R>R(eq.): Attractive force = Tensile stress

a)

Images removed due to copyright restrictions. Please see Fig. 1a and 5 in [1], and http://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Argon_ dimer_potential_and_Lennard-Jones.png

b)

•Asymmetric L-J potential explains the stress states seen in Figure b).

•Compressive stress at R<R(eq.) decreases faster with R than the tensile stress does at R>R(eq.)

Mechanical probing of virus capsids

•Atomic force microscopy (AFM) used to strain the capsid until yielding and then fracture occur. Toughness and yield stress can be calculated.

$$\sigma_{y} = \frac{F_{yield}}{Area} = \frac{2.8nN}{1252.2nm^{2}} = 2.2MPa$$

 Fracture Stress = 6.6MPa
 Toughness = 2.8MPa

 Yield Stress = 2.2MPa
 Toughness

 Yield Strein=0.29
 Total Strain=0.85

[1] Zandi, R., and D. Reguera. "Mechanical properties of viral capsids." *Physical Review E* 72 (2005): 021917.

3.22 Mechanical Behavior of Materials MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

Prediction & Optimization

Predictions:

- Equilibrium capsomer spacing → *Equilibrium capsid radius, R*
- Asymmetric LJ potential \rightarrow Easier (smaller required σ) to stretch than compress by a given ΔR
 - Capsids more easily fail by bursting/rupture than by compression!
- LJ potential between capsomers \rightarrow
 - Max tolerable force (+ accompanying radius) found from potential's flex point
 - 5-10% radius expansion before bursting
- With increasing thermal fluctuations at increasing T \rightarrow
 - Capsids fail before flex point radius and stress at higher T

Optimization:

- To enhance bursting (genetic material delivery):
 - Increase T
 - Adjust pH & salt concentrations of ambient environment to increase differential osmotic pressure
 - i.e. decrease ambient pressure

Image removed due to copyright restrictions. Please see Fig. 9 in [1].

Upon bursting, small crack develops which propagates catastrophically until it rips across capsid surface

As in intergranular fracture, the crack propagates most easily at the interface between adjacent capsomers