

18.100B Fall 2010
Practice Quiz 4 Solutions

1.

$$\bullet L(f, P = (x_i)) = \sum_{i=1}^n \underbrace{\inf_{x \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]} f(x)}_{\geq 0} \underbrace{(x_i - x_{i-1})}_{> 0} \geq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow L(f) = \sup_P L(f, P) \geq 0$$

$$\bullet U(f, P = (x_i)) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sup_{x \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]} f(x)(x_i - x_{i-1})$$

Given $\epsilon > 0$, pick a partition

$$P^\epsilon = (0, \frac{1}{2^n} - \delta, \frac{1}{2^n} + \delta, \frac{3}{2^n} - \delta, \frac{3}{2^n} + \delta, \dots, \frac{2^n-1}{2^n} - \delta, \frac{2^n-1}{2^n} + \delta, 1)$$

with $\delta < \frac{1}{2^n}$ (hence $\frac{1}{2^n} + \delta < \frac{3}{2^n} - \delta$) and $\delta \leq \epsilon$, then

$$U(f, P^\epsilon) = \underbrace{\sum_{k=1}^{2^n-1} \underbrace{\sup f \cdot \Delta x_i}_{\frac{1}{2^n}}}_{\text{from intervals } [\frac{k}{2^n} - \delta, \frac{k}{2^n} + \delta]} + \underbrace{0}_{\text{from other intervals}} = \frac{2^n-1}{2^{n-1}} \delta < 2\delta < \epsilon$$

Together with Rudin ($L(f) \leq U(f)$) this shows

$$0 \leq L(f) \leq U(f) \leq \epsilon \quad \forall \epsilon > 0 \Rightarrow L(f) = U(f) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow f \text{ integrable, } \int_0^1 f dx = L(f) = 0$$

2. f continuous \Rightarrow integrable $\Rightarrow \sup_P L(f, P) = L(f) = 0$

$$\Rightarrow \forall \text{ partitions } P, L(f, P) \leq 0$$

Suppose by contradiction $f(x_0) > 0$ for some $x_0 \in [a, b]$,

then by continuity find $\delta > 0$ s.t.

$$|x - x_0| < \delta \Rightarrow |f(x) - f(x_0)| < \frac{1}{2} f(x_0)$$

$$\Rightarrow f(x) \geq f(x_0) - \frac{1}{2} f(x_0) = \frac{1}{2} f(x_0)$$

Now consider any equidistant partition P with $\Delta x < \delta$, then

$$L(f, P) = \sum_{i=1}^n \underbrace{\inf_{x \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]} f(x) \Delta x}_{\geq 0 \text{ since } f(x) \geq 0} \geq \underbrace{\inf_{x \in [x_{i_0-1}, x_{i_0}]} f(x) \cdot \Delta x}_{\text{just looking at an interval that contains } x_0}$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{2} f(x_0) \cdot \Delta x > 0$$

in contradiction to $L(f, P) \leq 0$

3.(a) $m > n$

$$\|f_n - f_m\|_\infty = \left\| \sum_{k=n+1}^m e^{-kx} \cos(kx) \right\|_\infty \leq \sum_{k=n+1}^m \sup_{x \geq a} e^{-kx} |\cos kx| \leq \sum_{k=n+1}^m e^{-ka} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

since $\sum e^{-ka} = \sum (e^{-a})^k$ converges due to $|e^{-a}| < 1$

This shows uniform convergence by the ‘‘Cauchy criterion’’ (in Rudin).

(b) To show that f is continuous at $x_0 \in (0, \infty)$, note that

- each f_n is continuous on $[\frac{x_0}{2}, 2x_0]$
- $f_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly on $[\frac{x_0}{2}, 2x_0]$ by (a)

So, by Rudin, f is continuous on $[\frac{x_0}{2}, 2x_0]$, which contains x_0 .

(c)

$$\int_1^\infty f(x)dx \stackrel{\text{by definition}}{=} \lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} \int_1^b f(x)dx \stackrel{\text{by Rudin}}{=} \lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_1^b f_n(x)dx$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_1^b f_n(x)dx \stackrel{\text{linearity of integral}}{=} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^n \int_1^b \underbrace{e^{-kx} \cos kx}_{|\cdot| \leq e^{-kx}} dx \text{ exists by comparison with the}$$

absolutely convergent series $\sum_{k=1}^\infty e^{-k}$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_1^b f(x)dx \right| &= \left| \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_1^b f_n(x)dx \right| \leq \sum_{k=1}^n \int_1^b e^{-kx} dx = \sum_{k=1}^n \left[\frac{-1}{k} e^{-kx} \right]_1^b \leq \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} e^{-k} \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\frac{1}{e} \right)^k \leq \sum_{k=1}^\infty \left(\frac{1}{e} \right)^k = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{e}} = \frac{e}{e-1} \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $\lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} \int_1^b f dx$ exists since for $b' \geq b$

$$\left| \int_1^{b'} f dx - \int_1^b f dx \right| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int_b^{b'} f_n dx \right| \leq \sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{1}{k} (e^{-bk} - e^{-b'k}) \leq \sum_{k=1}^\infty (e^{-b})^k = \frac{1}{1 - e^{-b}}$$

converges to 0 as $b \rightarrow \infty$. (Hence the same holds for any sequence $b_i \rightarrow \infty$, making $\int_1^{b_i} f dx$ a Cauchy sequence. Completeness of \mathbb{R} then implies convergence as $i \rightarrow \infty$; and the limit for all sequences $b_i \rightarrow \infty$ is the same since otherwise one could make a divergence (oscillating) sequence.)

$$\text{So } \int_1^\infty f dx \text{ exists, and } \int_1^\infty f dx = \lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} \int_1^b f dx \leq \lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} \frac{e}{e-1} = \frac{e}{e-1}.$$

4.(a) FALSE

Differentiable implies continuous, but not bounded - e.g. $f(x) = x^{-1}$ on $[0, 1]$ is differentiable on $(0,1)$.

(b) TRUE

See Rudin.

(c) TRUE

$$L(f, P) = \sum \underbrace{\inf_{x \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]} f(x)}_{\leq 0 \text{ since any interval contains } x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}} \cdot \Delta x_i \leq 0 \Rightarrow L(f) = \int f dx \leq 0$$

(d) TRUE

The limit is continuous by Rudin 7... , and uniformly continuous since $[a,b]$ is compact

(e) TRUE

Almost everywhere continuous \iff Riemann integrable

So result follows from “ $f_n \in \mathbb{R}, \|f_n - f\|_\infty \rightarrow 0 \Rightarrow f \in \mathbb{R}$ ”

MIT OpenCourseWare
<http://ocw.mit.edu>

18.100B Analysis I
Fall 2010

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: <http://ocw.mit.edu/terms>.