

MATH 18.152 - MIDTERM EXAM

18.152 Introduction to PDEs, Fall 2011

Professor: Jared Speck

Midterm Exam Solutions, **Thursday, October 27**

I. a) Setting

$$(1) \quad u(t, x) = v(t)w(x)$$

leads to the ODEs

$$(2) \quad \frac{v'(t)}{v(t)} = \frac{w''(x)}{-w(x)} = \lambda \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The solutions to (2) that satisfy the boundary conditions are

$$(3) \quad v(t) = Ae^{\lambda t},$$

$$(4) \quad w(x) = B \sin(\sqrt{|\lambda|x}),$$

where A, B are constants,

$$(5) \quad \lambda = m^2\pi^2,$$

and $m \geq 0$ is an integer. Thus, we have derived an infinite family of solutions

$$(6) \quad u_m(t, x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} A_m e^{m^2\pi^2 t} \sin(m\pi x).$$

b) For a general $f(x)$, the solution to the PDE is a superposition

$$(7) \quad u(t, x) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} A_m e^{m^2\pi^2 t} \sin(m\pi x),$$

$$(8) \quad A_m = 2 \int_{[0,1]} f(x) \sin(m\pi x) dx.$$

Let $n > 0$ be any integer, and consider the initial datum $f(x) = \epsilon \sin(n\pi x)$, where $\epsilon > 0$ is a small number. Then this function satisfies $\max_{x \in [0,1]} |f(x)| \leq \epsilon$, $A_m = \epsilon$ if $m = n$, and $A_m = 0$ otherwise. Thus, the corresponding solution is

$$(9) \quad u(t, x) = \epsilon e^{n^2\pi^2 t} \sin(n\pi x).$$

At time $t = 1$, the amplitude of this solution has grown to $\epsilon e^{n^2\pi^2}$. Thus, by choosing n to be large, at $t = 1$, the solution can be arbitrarily large, even though the datum satisfies $\max_{x \in [0,1]} |f(x)| \leq \epsilon$.

In contrast, when $f = 0$, the solution remains 0 for all time. Thus, arbitrarily small changes in the data can lead to arbitrarily large changes in the solution, and the backwards heat equation is therefore *not well posed* (i.e. it is *ill-posed*). This is in complete contrast to the ordinary heat equation, which is well-posed. For the ordinary heat equation, the Fourier modes exponentially decay in time (as opposed to the exponential growth from (9)).

II. Define $v(x) = u(x) + \sqrt{R}$. Then $\Delta v = 0$, and $v(x) \geq 0$ for $x \in B_R(0)$. Thus by Harnack's inequality

$$(10) \quad \frac{R(R - |x|)}{(R + |x|)^2} v(0) \leq v(x) \leq \frac{R(R + |x|)}{(R - |x|)^2} v(0)$$

holds for $x \in B_R(0)$. Thus, for $x \in B_R(0)$, we have

$$(11) \quad \left\{ \frac{R(R - |x|)}{(R + |x|)^2} - 1 \right\} \sqrt{R} \leq u(x) \leq \left\{ \frac{R(R + |x|)}{(R - |x|)^2} - 1 \right\} \sqrt{R}.$$

For a fixed x , we let $R \rightarrow \infty$ and apply L'Hôpital's rule to conclude that

$$(12) \quad 0 \leq u(x) \leq 0.$$

Thus,

$$(13) \quad u(x) = 0.$$

III. Let (t_0, x_0) be the point in $[0, 2] \times [0, 1]$ at which u achieves its max. We will show that $u(t_0, x_0) \leq 0$, which implies the desired conclusion.

If $t_0 = 0$, $x_0 = 0$, or $x_0 = 1$, then the conditions on f, g, h immediately imply that $u(t_0, x_0) \leq 0$, and we are done. So let us assume that none of these cases occur.

If $t_0 = 2$, then by the above remarks we can assume that $x_0 \in (0, 1)$. Then $\partial_t u(2, x_0) \geq 0$, since otherwise we could slightly decrease t_0 and cause the value of u to increase, which contradicts the definition of a max. Also, by standard calculus, we must have that $\partial_x u(2, x_0) = 0$, and by Taylor expanding, we can conclude that $\partial_x^2 u(2, x_0) \leq 0$ at the max. Thus, $\partial_t u(2, x_0) - \partial_x^2 u(2, x_0) \geq 0$. Using the PDE, we thus conclude that $-u(2, x_0) \geq 0$, and we are done.

For the final case, we assume that $0 < t_0 < 2$ and $x_0 \in (0, 1)$. Then by standard calculus, $\partial_t u(t_0, x_0) = 0$ at the max. Also, as above, by standard calculus $\partial_x^2 u(t_0, x_0) \leq 0$ at the max. Thus, $\partial_t u(t_0, x_0) - \partial_x^2 u(t_0, x_0) \geq 0$. Using the PDE, we thus conclude that $-u(t_0, x_0) \geq 0$, and we are again done.

IV. a)

Using the PDE and the fundamental theorem of calculus, we compute that

$$(14) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{T}(t) = \int_{[0,1]} \partial_t u(t, x) dx = \int_{[0,1]} \partial_x^2 u(t, x) dx = \partial_x u(t, y)|_{y=0}^{y=1} = \partial_x u(t, 1) - \partial_x u(t, 0) = 0.$$

b) (2 pts.)

Our previous studies of the heat equation have suggested that solutions to the heat equation tend to rapidly settle down to constant states as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Since the thermal energy is preserved in time, if u converges to a constant C , then it must be the case that

$$(15) \quad C = \int_{[0,1]} C \, dy = \int_{[0,1]} \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} u(t, y) \, dy = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{[0,1]} u(t, y) \, dy = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{T}(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{T}(0) = \mathcal{T}(0).$$

c) Define $C \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{T}(0) = \int_{[0,1]} f(x) \, dx$. Also define $w(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} u(t, x) - C$. Note that part a) implies that

$$(16) \quad \int_{[0,1]} w(t, x) \, dx = 0$$

for all t .

Then we compute that

$$(17) \quad \begin{aligned} \partial_t w - \partial_x^2 w &= 0, & (t, x) &\in [0, \infty) \times [0, 1], \\ w(0, x) &= f(x) - C, & x &\in [0, 1], \\ \partial_x w(t, 0) &= 0, & \partial_x w(t, 1) &= 0, & t &\in [0, \infty). \end{aligned}$$

Define the energy

$$(18) \quad E^2(t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_{[0,1]} w^2(t, x) \, dx.$$

Using (17) and the boundary conditions, we compute that

$$(19) \quad \frac{d}{dt} E^2(t) = 2 \int_{[0,1]} w(t, x) \partial_t w(t, x) \, dx = 2 \int_{[0,1]} w(t, x) \partial_x^2 w(t, x) \, dx = -2 \int_{[0,1]} (\partial_x w(t, x))^2 \, dx.$$

Now (16) implies that at each fixed t , there must exist a spatial point x_0 such that $w(t, x_0) = 0$; otherwise, $w(t, \cdot)$ would be strictly positive or negative in x , and therefore (16) could not hold. Using the fundamental theorem of calculus and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we thus estimate that

$$(20) \quad \begin{aligned} |w(t, x)| &= |w(t, x) - w(t, x_0)| = \left| \int_{x_0}^x \partial_y w(t, y) \, dy \right| \\ &\leq \int_0^1 |\partial_y w(t, y)| \, dy \\ &\leq \left(\int_0^1 1^2 \, dy \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_0^1 |\partial_y w(t, y)|^2 \, dy \right)^{1/2} \\ &= \left(\int_0^1 |\partial_y w(t, y)|^2 \, dy \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

It thus follows from (20) that

$$(21) \quad E^2(t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_{[0,1]} |w(t, x)|^2 \, dx \leq \max_{x \in [0,1]} |w(t, x)|^2 \leq \int_0^1 |\partial_y w(t, y)|^2 \, dy.$$

Combining (19) and (21), we have that

$$(22) \quad \frac{d}{dt} E^2(t) \leq -2E^2(t).$$

Integrating (21), we conclude that

$$(23) \quad E^2(t) \leq E^2(0)e^{-2t},$$

and so $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} E^2(t) = 0$. Thus,

$$(24) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{[0,1]} |u(t, x) - C|^2 dx = 0.$$

Equivalently,

$$(25) \quad \|u(t, \cdot) - C\|_{L^2([0,1])} \rightarrow 0$$

as $t \rightarrow \infty$. That is, $u(t, \cdot)$ converges to C in the spatial $L^2([0, 1])$ norm as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

V. a)

Using the PDE, integrating by parts in x , and using the spatial compact support of the solution to discard the boundary terms, we compute that

$$(26) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} E^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_t \left\{ (\partial_t u)^2 + (\partial_x u)^2 \right\} dx \\ &= 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\partial_t u) \partial_t^2 u + \partial_x u \partial_t \partial_x u dx = 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\partial_t u) (\partial_x^2 u - \mathfrak{F}) + (\partial_x u) \partial_t \partial_x u dx \\ &= -2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\partial_t u) \mathfrak{F} dx + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\partial_t u) \partial_x^2 u + (\partial_x u) \partial_t \partial_x u dx \\ &= -2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\partial_t u) \mathfrak{F} dx + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} -\partial_x (\partial_t u) \partial_x u + (\partial_x u) \partial_t \partial_x u dx \\ &= -2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\partial_t u) \mathfrak{F} dx. \end{aligned}$$

b)

Using (26) and Cauchy-Schwarz, we compute that

$$(27) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} E^2 &\leq 2 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\partial_t u|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\mathfrak{F}|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq 2E \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\mathfrak{F}|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq E \frac{2}{1+t^2}. \end{aligned}$$

But the left-hand side of (27) is equal to $2E \frac{d}{dt} E$, which leads to

$$(28) \quad \frac{d}{dt} E \leq \frac{1}{1+t^2}.$$

Integrating (28) in time, we conclude that

$$(29) \quad \begin{aligned} E(t) - E(0) &= \int_0^t \frac{d}{ds} E(s) ds \leq \int_0^t \frac{1}{1+s^2} ds \\ &\leq \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{1+s^2} ds \\ &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} C < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

and we have reached the desired conclusion.

MIT OpenCourseWare
<http://ocw.mit.edu>

18.152 Introduction to Partial Differential Equations.
Fall 2011

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: <http://ocw.mit.edu/terms>.