18.600: Lecture 13 Poisson processes

Scott Sheffield

MIT

Outline

Poisson random variables

What should a Poisson point process be?

Poisson point process axioms

Consequences of axioms

Outline

Poisson random variables

What should a Poisson point process be

Poisson point process axioms

Consequences of axioms

▶ A **Poisson random variable** X with parameter λ satisfies $P\{X = k\} = \frac{\lambda^k}{k!}e^{-\lambda}$ for integer $k \ge 0$.

- ▶ A **Poisson random variable** X with parameter λ satisfies $P\{X=k\}=\frac{\lambda^k}{k!}e^{-\lambda}$ for integer $k\geq 0$.
- The probabilities are approximately those of a binomial with parameters $(n, \lambda/n)$ when n is very large.

- ▶ A **Poisson random variable** X with parameter λ satisfies $P\{X=k\}=\frac{\lambda^k}{k!}e^{-\lambda}$ for integer $k\geq 0$.
- The probabilities are approximately those of a binomial with parameters $(n, \lambda/n)$ when n is very large.
- Indeed,

$$\binom{n}{k} p^k (1-p)^{n-k} = \frac{n(n-1)(n-2)\dots(n-k+1)}{k!} p^k (1-p)^{n-k} \approx$$

$$\frac{\lambda^k}{k!} (1-p)^{n-k} \approx \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} e^{-\lambda}.$$

- ▶ A **Poisson random variable** X with parameter λ satisfies $P\{X = k\} = \frac{\lambda^k}{k!}e^{-\lambda}$ for integer $k \ge 0$.
- The probabilities are approximately those of a binomial with parameters $(n, \lambda/n)$ when n is very large.
- Indeed,

$$\binom{n}{k}p^k(1-p)^{n-k}=\frac{n(n-1)(n-2)\dots(n-k+1)}{k!}p^k(1-p)^{n-k}\approx$$

$$\frac{\lambda^k}{k!}(1-p)^{n-k}\approx \frac{\lambda^k}{k!}e^{-\lambda}.$$

• General idea: if you have a large number of unlikely events that are (mostly) independent of each other, and the expected number that occur is λ , then the total number that occur should be (approximately) a Poisson random variable with parameter λ .

Many phenomena (number of phone calls or customers arriving in a given period, number of radioactive emissions in a given time period, number of major hurricanes in a given time period, etc.) can be modeled this way.

- Many phenomena (number of phone calls or customers arriving in a given period, number of radioactive emissions in a given time period, number of major hurricanes in a given time period, etc.) can be modeled this way.
- ▶ A **Poisson random variable** X with parameter λ has expectation λ and variance λ .

- Many phenomena (number of phone calls or customers arriving in a given period, number of radioactive emissions in a given time period, number of major hurricanes in a given time period, etc.) can be modeled this way.
- A Poisson random variable X with parameter λ has expectation λ and variance λ .
- ▶ Special case: if $\lambda = 1$, then $P\{X = k\} = \frac{1}{k!e}$.

- Many phenomena (number of phone calls or customers arriving in a given period, number of radioactive emissions in a given time period, number of major hurricanes in a given time period, etc.) can be modeled this way.
- A Poisson random variable X with parameter λ has expectation λ and variance λ .
- ▶ Special case: if $\lambda = 1$, then $P\{X = k\} = \frac{1}{k!e}$.
- ightharpoonup Note how quickly this goes to zero, as a function of k.

- Many phenomena (number of phone calls or customers arriving in a given period, number of radioactive emissions in a given time period, number of major hurricanes in a given time period, etc.) can be modeled this way.
- A Poisson random variable X with parameter λ has expectation λ and variance λ .
- ▶ Special case: if $\lambda = 1$, then $P\{X = k\} = \frac{1}{k!e}$.
- ▶ Note how quickly this goes to zero, as a function of *k*.
- ▶ Example: number of royal flushes in a million five-card poker hands is approximately Poisson with parameter $10^6/649739 \approx 1.54$.

- Many phenomena (number of phone calls or customers arriving in a given period, number of radioactive emissions in a given time period, number of major hurricanes in a given time period, etc.) can be modeled this way.
- A Poisson random variable X with parameter λ has expectation λ and variance λ .
- ▶ Special case: if $\lambda = 1$, then $P\{X = k\} = \frac{1}{k!e}$.
- ▶ Note how quickly this goes to zero, as a function of *k*.
- ▶ Example: number of royal flushes in a million five-card poker hands is approximately Poisson with parameter $10^6/649739 \approx 1.54$.
- Example: if a country expects 2 plane crashes in a year, then the total number might be approximately Poisson with parameter $\lambda=2$.

Example: Joe works for a bank and notices that his town sees an average of one mortgage foreclosure per month.

- Example: Joe works for a bank and notices that his town sees an average of one mortgage foreclosure per month.
- Moreover, looking over five years of data, it seems that the number of foreclosures per month follows a rate 1 Poisson distribution.

- Example: Joe works for a bank and notices that his town sees an average of one mortgage foreclosure per month.
- Moreover, looking over five years of data, it seems that the number of foreclosures per month follows a rate 1 Poisson distribution.
- ► That is, roughly a 1/e fraction of months has 0 foreclosures, a 1/e fraction has 1, a 1/(2e) fraction has 2, a 1/(6e) fraction has 3, and a 1/(24e) fraction has 4.

- Example: Joe works for a bank and notices that his town sees an average of one mortgage foreclosure per month.
- Moreover, looking over five years of data, it seems that the number of foreclosures per month follows a rate 1 Poisson distribution.
- ► That is, roughly a 1/e fraction of months has 0 foreclosures, a 1/e fraction has 1, a 1/(2e) fraction has 2, a 1/(6e) fraction has 3, and a 1/(24e) fraction has 4.
- Joe concludes that the probability of seeing 10 foreclosures during a given month is only 1/(10!e). Probability to see 10 or more (an extreme *tail event* that would destroy the bank) is $\sum_{k=10}^{\infty} 1/(k!e)$, less than one in million.

- Example: Joe works for a bank and notices that his town sees an average of one mortgage foreclosure per month.
- Moreover, looking over five years of data, it seems that the number of foreclosures per month follows a rate 1 Poisson distribution.
- ► That is, roughly a 1/e fraction of months has 0 foreclosures, a 1/e fraction has 1, a 1/(2e) fraction has 2, a 1/(6e) fraction has 3, and a 1/(24e) fraction has 4.
- ▶ Joe concludes that the probability of seeing 10 foreclosures during a given month is only 1/(10!e). Probability to see 10 or more (an extreme *tail event* that would destroy the bank) is $\sum_{k=10}^{\infty} 1/(k!e)$, less than one in million.
- ▶ Investors are impressed. Joe receives large bonus.

- Example: Joe works for a bank and notices that his town sees an average of one mortgage foreclosure per month.
- Moreover, looking over five years of data, it seems that the number of foreclosures per month follows a rate 1 Poisson distribution.
- ► That is, roughly a 1/e fraction of months has 0 foreclosures, a 1/e fraction has 1, a 1/(2e) fraction has 2, a 1/(6e) fraction has 3, and a 1/(24e) fraction has 4.
- ▶ Joe concludes that the probability of seeing 10 foreclosures during a given month is only 1/(10!e). Probability to see 10 or more (an extreme *tail event* that would destroy the bank) is $\sum_{k=10}^{\infty} 1/(k!e)$, less than one in million.
- ▶ Investors are impressed. Joe receives large bonus.
- ► But probably shouldn't.... ¹⁹

Outline

Poisson random variables

What should a Poisson point process be?

Poisson point process axioms

Consequences of axioms

Outline

Poisson random variables

What should a Poisson point process be?

Poisson point process axioms

Consequences of axioms

Whatever his faults, Joe was a good record keeper. He kept track of the precise times at which the foreclosures occurred over the whole five years (not just the total numbers of foreclosures). We could try this for other problems as well.

- Whatever his faults, Joe was a good record keeper. He kept track of the precise times at which the foreclosures occurred over the whole five years (not just the total numbers of foreclosures). We could try this for other problems as well.
- Let's encode this information with a function. We'd like a random function N(t) that describe the number of events that occur during the first t units of time. (This could be a model for the number of plane crashes in first t years, or the number of royal flushes in first $10^6 t$ poker hands.)

- Whatever his faults, Joe was a good record keeper. He kept track of the precise times at which the foreclosures occurred over the whole five years (not just the total numbers of foreclosures). We could try this for other problems as well.
- Let's encode this information with a function. We'd like a random function N(t) that describe the number of events that occur during the first t units of time. (This could be a model for the number of plane crashes in first t years, or the number of royal flushes in first $10^6 t$ poker hands.)
- So N(t) is a random non-decreasing integer-valued function of t with N(0) = 0.

- Whatever his faults, Joe was a good record keeper. He kept track of the precise times at which the foreclosures occurred over the whole five years (not just the total numbers of foreclosures). We could try this for other problems as well.
- Let's encode this information with a function. We'd like a random function N(t) that describe the number of events that occur during the first t units of time. (This could be a model for the number of plane crashes in first t years, or the number of royal flushes in first $10^6 t$ poker hands.)
- So N(t) is a random non-decreasing integer-valued function of t with N(0) = 0.
- For each t, N(t) is a random variable, and the N(t) are functions on the same sample space.

Outline

Poisson random variables

What should a Poisson point process be?

Poisson point process axioms

Consequences of axioms

Outline

Poisson random variables

What should a Poisson point process be

Poisson point process axioms

Consequences of axioms

Let's back up and give a precise and minimal list of properties we want the random function N(t) to satisfy.

- Let's back up and give a precise and minimal list of properties we want the random function N(t) to satisfy.
- ▶ 1. N(0) = 0.

- Let's back up and give a precise and minimal list of properties we want the random function N(t) to satisfy.
- ▶ 1. N(0) = 0.
- ▶ 2. **Independence:** Number of events (jumps of *N*) in disjoint time intervals are independent.

- Let's back up and give a precise and minimal list of properties we want the random function N(t) to satisfy.
- ▶ 1. N(0) = 0.
- ▶ 2. **Independence:** Number of events (jumps of *N*) in disjoint time intervals are independent.
- ▶ 3. **Homogeneity:** Prob. distribution of # events in interval depends only on length. (Deduce: $E[N(h)] = \lambda h$ for some λ .)

- Let's back up and give a precise and minimal list of properties we want the random function N(t) to satisfy.
- ▶ 1. N(0) = 0.
- ▶ 2. **Independence:** Number of events (jumps of *N*) in disjoint time intervals are independent.
- ▶ 3. **Homogeneity:** Prob. distribution of # events in interval depends only on length. (Deduce: $E[N(h)] = \lambda h$ for some λ .)
- ▶ 4. Non-concurrence: P{N(h) ≥ 2} << P{N(h) = 1} when h is small. Precisely:</p>

- Let's back up and give a precise and minimal list of properties we want the random function N(t) to satisfy.
- ▶ 1. N(0) = 0.
- ▶ 2. **Independence:** Number of events (jumps of *N*) in disjoint time intervals are independent.
- ▶ 3. **Homogeneity:** Prob. distribution of # events in interval depends only on length. (Deduce: $E[N(h)] = \lambda h$ for some λ .)
- ▶ 4. **Non-concurrence:** $P\{N(h) \ge 2\} << P\{N(h) = 1\}$ when h is small. Precisely:
 - ► $P\{N(h) = 1\} = \lambda h + o(h)$. (Here f(h) = o(h) means $\lim_{h\to 0} f(h)/h = 0$.)

- Let's back up and give a precise and minimal list of properties we want the random function N(t) to satisfy.
- ▶ 1. N(0) = 0.
- ▶ 2. **Independence:** Number of events (jumps of *N*) in disjoint time intervals are independent.
- ▶ 3. **Homogeneity:** Prob. distribution of # events in interval depends only on length. (Deduce: $E[N(h)] = \lambda h$ for some λ .)
- 4. Non-concurrence: P{N(h) ≥ 2} << P{N(h) = 1} when h is small. Precisely:</p>
 - ► $P\{N(h) = 1\} = \lambda h + o(h)$. (Here f(h) = o(h) means $\lim_{h\to 0} f(h)/h = 0$.)
 - ▶ $P{N(h) \ge 2} = o(h)$.

- Let's back up and give a precise and minimal list of properties we want the random function N(t) to satisfy.
- ▶ 1. N(0) = 0.
- ▶ 2. **Independence:** Number of events (jumps of *N*) in disjoint time intervals are independent.
- ▶ 3. **Homogeneity:** Prob. distribution of # events in interval depends only on length. (Deduce: $E[N(h)] = \lambda h$ for some λ .)
- ▶ 4. Non-concurrence: P{N(h) ≥ 2} << P{N(h) = 1} when h is small. Precisely:</p>
 - ► $P\{N(h) = 1\} = \lambda h + o(h)$. (Here f(h) = o(h) means $\lim_{h\to 0} f(h)/h = 0$.)
 - ▶ $P{N(h) \ge 2} = o(h)$.
- A random function N(t) with these properties is a **Poisson** process with rate λ .

Outline

Poisson random variables

What should a Poisson point process be?

Poisson point process axioms

Consequences of axioms

Outline

Poisson random variables

What should a Poisson point process be?

Poisson point process axioms

Consequences of axioms

► Can we work out the probability of no events before time *t*?

- Can we work out the probability of no events before time t?
- ▶ We assumed $P\{N(h) = 1\} = \lambda h + o(h)$ and $P\{N(h) \ge 2\} = o(h)$. Taken together, these imply that $P\{N(h) = 0\} = 1 \lambda h + o(h)$.

- Can we work out the probability of no events before time t?
- ▶ We assumed $P\{N(h) = 1\} = \lambda h + o(h)$ and $P\{N(h) \ge 2\} = o(h)$. Taken together, these imply that $P\{N(h) = 0\} = 1 \lambda h + o(h)$.
- Fix λ and t. Probability of no events in interval of length t/n is $(1 \lambda t/n) + o(1/n)$.

- Can we work out the probability of no events before time t?
- ▶ We assumed $P\{N(h) = 1\} = \lambda h + o(h)$ and $P\{N(h) \ge 2\} = o(h)$. Taken together, these imply that $P\{N(h) = 0\} = 1 \lambda h + o(h)$.
- Fix λ and t. Probability of no events in interval of length t/n is $(1 \lambda t/n) + o(1/n)$.
- Probability of no events in first n such intervals is about $\left(1 \lambda t/n + o(1/n)\right)^n \approx e^{-\lambda t}$.

- Can we work out the probability of no events before time t?
- ▶ We assumed $P\{N(h) = 1\} = \lambda h + o(h)$ and $P\{N(h) \ge 2\} = o(h)$. Taken together, these imply that $P\{N(h) = 0\} = 1 \lambda h + o(h)$.
- Fix λ and t. Probability of no events in interval of length t/n is $(1 \lambda t/n) + o(1/n)$.
- Probability of no events in first n such intervals is about $\left(1 \lambda t/n + o(1/n)\right)^n \approx e^{-\lambda t}$.
- ▶ Taking limit as $n \to \infty$, can show that probability of no event in interval of length t is $e^{-\lambda t}$.

- Can we work out the probability of no events before time t?
- ▶ We assumed $P\{N(h) = 1\} = \lambda h + o(h)$ and $P\{N(h) \ge 2\} = o(h)$. Taken together, these imply that $P\{N(h) = 0\} = 1 \lambda h + o(h)$.
- Fix λ and t. Probability of no events in interval of length t/n is $(1 \lambda t/n) + o(1/n)$.
- Probability of no events in first n such intervals is about $\left(1 \lambda t/n + o(1/n)\right)^n \approx e^{-\lambda t}$.
- ▶ Taking limit as $n \to \infty$, can show that probability of no event in interval of length t is $e^{-\lambda t}$.
- $P\{N(t)=0\}=e^{-\lambda t}.$

- Can we work out the probability of no events before time t?
- ▶ We assumed $P\{N(h) = 1\} = \lambda h + o(h)$ and $P\{N(h) \ge 2\} = o(h)$. Taken together, these imply that $P\{N(h) = 0\} = 1 \lambda h + o(h)$.
- Fix λ and t. Probability of no events in interval of length t/n is $(1 \lambda t/n) + o(1/n)$.
- Probability of no events in first n such intervals is about $\left(1 \lambda t/n + o(1/n)\right)^n \approx e^{-\lambda t}$.
- ▶ Taking limit as $n \to \infty$, can show that probability of no event in interval of length t is $e^{-\lambda t}$.
- ► $P\{N(t) = 0\} = e^{-\lambda t}$.
- Let T_1 be the time of the first event. Then $P\{T_1 \geq t\} = e^{-\lambda t}$. We say that T_1 is an **exponential** random variable with rate λ .

Let T_2 be time between first and second event. Generally, T_k is time between (k-1)th and kth event.

- Let T_2 be time between first and second event. Generally, T_k is time between (k-1)th and kth event.
- Then the T_1, T_2, \ldots are independent of each other (informally this means that observing some of the random variables T_k gives you no information about the others). Each is an exponential random variable with rate λ .

- Let T_2 be time between first and second event. Generally, T_k is time between (k-1)th and kth event.
- Then the T_1, T_2, \ldots are independent of each other (informally this means that observing some of the random variables T_k gives you no information about the others). Each is an exponential random variable with rate λ .
- ▶ This finally gives us a way to construct N(t). It is determined by the sequence T_j of independent exponential random variables.

- Let T_2 be time between first and second event. Generally, T_k is time between (k-1)th and kth event.
- Then the T_1, T_2, \ldots are independent of each other (informally this means that observing some of the random variables T_k gives you no information about the others). Each is an exponential random variable with rate λ .
- ▶ This finally gives us a way to construct N(t). It is determined by the sequence T_j of independent exponential random variables.
- Axioms can be readily verified from this description.

Axioms should imply that $P\{N(t) = k\} = e^{-\lambda t}(\lambda t)^k/k!$.

- Axioms should imply that $P\{N(t)=k\}=e^{-\lambda t}(\lambda t)^k/k!$.
- One way to prove this: divide time into n intervals of length t/n. In each, probability to see an event is $p = \lambda t/n + o(1/n)$.

- Axioms should imply that $P\{N(t) = k\} = e^{-\lambda t}(\lambda t)^k/k!$.
- One way to prove this: divide time into n intervals of length t/n. In each, probability to see an event is $p = \lambda t/n + o(1/n)$.
- Use binomial theorem to describe probability to see event in exactly k intervals.

- Axioms should imply that $P\{N(t) = k\} = e^{-\lambda t}(\lambda t)^k/k!$.
- One way to prove this: divide time into n intervals of length t/n. In each, probability to see an event is $p = \lambda t/n + o(1/n)$.
- Use binomial theorem to describe probability to see event in exactly k intervals.
- ▶ Binomial formula: $\binom{n}{k} p^k (1-p)^{n-k} = \frac{n(n-1)(n-2)...(n-k+1)}{k!} p^k (1-p)^{n-k}$.

- Axioms should imply that $P\{N(t) = k\} = e^{-\lambda t}(\lambda t)^k/k!$.
- One way to prove this: divide time into n intervals of length t/n. In each, probability to see an event is $p = \lambda t/n + o(1/n)$.
- Use binomial theorem to describe probability to see event in exactly k intervals.
- ▶ Binomial formula: $\binom{n}{k} p^k (1-p)^{n-k} = \frac{n(n-1)(n-2)...(n-k+1)}{k!} p^k (1-p)^{n-k}$.
- ▶ This is approximately $\frac{(\lambda t)^k}{k!} (1-p)^{n-k} \approx \frac{(\lambda t)^k}{k!} e^{-\lambda t}$.

- Axioms should imply that $P\{N(t) = k\} = e^{-\lambda t}(\lambda t)^k/k!$.
- One way to prove this: divide time into n intervals of length t/n. In each, probability to see an event is $p = \lambda t/n + o(1/n)$.
- ► Use binomial theorem to describe probability to see event in exactly *k* intervals.
- ▶ Binomial formula: $\binom{n}{k} p^k (1-p)^{n-k} = \frac{n(n-1)(n-2)...(n-k+1)}{k!} p^k (1-p)^{n-k}$.
- ► This is approximately $\frac{(\lambda t)^k}{k!} (1-p)^{n-k} \approx \frac{(\lambda t)^k}{k!} e^{-\lambda t}$.
- ► Take n to infinity, and use fact that expected number of intervals with two or more points tends to zero (thus probability to see any intervals with two more points tends to zero).

54

We constructed a random function N(t) called a Poisson process of rate λ .

- We constructed a random function N(t) called a Poisson process of rate λ .
- For each $t > s \ge 0$, the value N(t) N(s) describes the number of events occurring in the time interval (s, t) and is Poisson with rate $(t s)\lambda$.

- We constructed a random function N(t) called a Poisson process of rate λ .
- For each $t > s \ge 0$, the value N(t) N(s) describes the number of events occurring in the time interval (s, t) and is Poisson with rate $(t s)\lambda$.
- ► The numbers of events occurring in disjoint intervals are independent random variables.

- We constructed a random function N(t) called a Poisson process of rate λ .
- For each $t > s \ge 0$, the value N(t) N(s) describes the number of events occurring in the time interval (s, t) and is Poisson with rate $(t s)\lambda$.
- ► The numbers of events occurring in disjoint intervals are independent random variables.
- Let T_k be time elapsed, since the previous event, until the kth event occurs. Then the T_k are independent random variables, each of which is exponential with parameter λ .

MIT OpenCourseWare https://ocw.mit.edu

18.600 Probability and Random Variables Fall 2019

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: https://ocw.mit.edu/terms.