

## 38 Applications

Today we harvest consequences of Poincaré duality. We'll use the form

**Theorem 38.1.** *Let  $M$  be an  $n$ -manifold and  $K$  a compact subset. An  $R$ -orientation along  $K$  determines a fundamental class  $[M]_K \in H_n(M, M - K)$ , and capping gives an isomorphism:*

$$\cap[M]_K : \check{H}^{n-q}(K; R) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_q(M, M - K; R).$$

**Corollary 38.2.**  $\check{H}^p(K; R) = 0$  for  $p > n$ .

We can contrast this with singular (co)homology. Here's an example:

**Example 38.3** (Barratt-Milnor, [1]). A two-dimensional version  $K$  of the Hawaiian earring, i.e., nested spheres all tangent to a point whose radii are going to zero. What they proved is that  $H_q(K; \mathbf{Q})$  is uncountable for every  $q > 1$ . But Čech cohomology is much more well-behaved.

**Theorem 38.4** (Alexander duality). *For any compact subset  $K$  of  $\mathbf{R}^n$ , the composite*

$$\check{H}^{n-q}(K; R) \xrightarrow{\cap[\mathbf{R}^n]_K} H_q(\mathbf{R}^n, \mathbf{R}^n - K; R) \xrightarrow{\partial} \check{H}_{q-1}(\mathbf{R}^n - K; R)$$

*is an isomorphism.*

*Proof.*  $\check{H}^*(\mathbf{R}^n; R) = 0$ . □

This is extremely useful! For example

**Corollary 38.5.** *If  $K$  is a compact subset of  $\mathbf{R}^n$  then  $\check{H}^n(K; R) = 0$ .*

**Corollary 38.6.** *The complement of a knot in  $S^3$  is a homology circle.*

**Example 38.7.** Take the case  $q = 1$ :

$$\check{H}^{n-1}(K; R) \xrightarrow{\cong} \check{H}_0(\mathbf{R}^n - K; R) = \ker(\varepsilon : R\pi_0(\mathbf{R}^n - K) \rightarrow R).$$

The augmentation is a split surjection, so this is a free  $R$ -module. This shows, for example, that  $\mathbf{RP}^2$  can't be embedded in  $\mathbf{R}^3$  – at least not with a regular neighborhood.

If we take  $n = 2$  and suppose that  $\check{H}^*(K) = H^*(S^1)$ , we find that the complement of  $K$  has *two* path components. This is the *Jordan Curve Theorem*.

There is a useful purely cohomological consequence of Poincaré duality, obtained by combining it with the universal coefficient theorem

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathbf{Z}}^1(H_{q-1}(X), \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow H^q(X) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(H_q(X), \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow 0.$$

First, note that  $\text{Hom}(H_q(X), \mathbf{Z})$  is always torsion-free. If I assume that  $H_{q-1}(X)$  is finitely generated, then  $\text{Ext}_{\mathbf{Z}}^1(H_{q-1}(X), \mathbf{Z})$  is a finite abelian group. So the UCT is providing the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{tors}H^q(X) \rightarrow H^q(X) \rightarrow H^q(X)/\text{tors} \rightarrow 0$$

– that is,

$$H^q(X)/\text{tors} \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Hom}(H_q(X)/\text{tors}, \mathbf{Z}).$$

That is to say, the Kronecker pairing descends to a perfect pairing

$$\frac{H^q(X)}{\text{tors}} \otimes \frac{H_q(X)}{\text{tors}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}.$$

Let's combine this with Poincaré duality. Let  $X = M$  be a compact oriented  $n$ -manifold, so that

$$\cap[M] : H^{n-q}(M) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_q(M).$$

We get a perfect pairing

$$\frac{H^q(X)}{\text{tors}} \otimes \frac{H^{n-q}(X)}{\text{tors}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}.$$

And what is that pairing? It's given by the composite

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^q(M) \otimes H^{n-q}(M) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{Z} \\ 1 \otimes (-\cap[M]) \downarrow & \nearrow \langle -, - \rangle & \\ H^q(M) \otimes H_q(M) & & \end{array}$$

and we've seen that

$$\langle a, b \cap [M] \rangle = \langle a \cup b, [M] \rangle$$

We have used  $R = \mathbf{Z}$ , but the same argument works for any PID – in particular for any field, in which case  $\text{tors}V = 0$ . We have proven:

**Theorem 38.8.** *Let  $R$  be a PID and  $M$  a compact  $R$ -oriented  $n$ -manifold. Then*

$$a \otimes b \mapsto \langle a \cup b, [M] \rangle$$

*induces a perfect pairing (with  $p + q = n$ )*

$$\frac{H^p(M; R)}{\text{tors}} \otimes_R \frac{H^q(M; R)}{\text{tors}} \rightarrow R.$$

**Example 38.9.** Complex projective 2-space is a compact 4-manifold, orientable since it is simply connected. It has a cell structure with cells in dimensions 0, 2, and 4, so its homology is  $\mathbf{Z}$  in those dimensions and 0 elsewhere, and so the same is true of its cohomology. Up till now the cup product structure has been a mystery. But now we know that

$$H^2(\mathbf{CP}^2) \otimes H^2(\mathbf{CP}^2) \rightarrow H^4(\mathbf{CP}^2)$$

is a perfect pairing. So if we write  $a$  for a generator of  $H^2(\mathbf{CP}^2)$ , then  $a \cup a = a^2$  is a free generator for  $H^4(\mathbf{CP}^2)$ . We have discovered that

$$H^*(\mathbf{CP}^2) = \mathbf{Z}[a]/a^3.$$

By the way, notice that if we had chosen  $-a$  as a generator, we would still produce the same generator for  $H^4(\mathbf{CP}^2)$ : so there is a preferred orientation, the one whose fundamental class pairs to 1 against  $a^2$ .

This calculation shows that while  $\mathbf{CP}^2$  and  $S^2 \vee S^4$  are both simply connected and have the same homology, they are not homotopy equivalent. This implies that the attaching map  $S^3 \rightarrow S^2$  for the top cell in  $\mathbf{CP}^2$  – the *Hopf map* – is essential.

How about  $\mathbf{CP}^3$ ? It just adds a 6-cell, so now  $H^6(\mathbf{CP}^3) \cong \mathbf{Z}$ . The pairing  $H^2(\mathbf{CP}^3) \otimes H^4(\mathbf{CP}^3) \rightarrow H^6(\mathbf{CP}^3)$  is perfect, so we find that  $a^3$  generates  $H^6(\mathbf{CP}^3)$ . Continuing in this way, we have

$$H^*(\mathbf{CP}^n) = \mathbf{Z}[a]/(a^{n+1}).$$

**Example 38.10.** Exactly the same argument shows that

$$H^*(\mathbf{RP}^n; \mathbf{F}_2) = \mathbf{F}_2[a]/(a^{n+1})$$

where  $|a| = 1$ .

I'll end with the following application.

**Theorem 38.11** (Borsuk-Ulam). *Think of  $S^n$  as the unit vectors in  $\mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ . For any continuous function  $f : S^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ , there exists  $x \in S^n$  such that  $f(x) = f(-x)$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that no such  $x$  exists. Then we may define a continuous function  $g : S^n \rightarrow S^{n-1}$  by

$$g : x \mapsto \frac{f(x) - f(-x)}{\|f(x) - f(-x)\|}.$$

Note that  $g(-x) = -g(x)$ :  $g$  is equivariant with respect to the antipodal action. It descends to a map  $\bar{g} : \mathbf{RP}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{RP}^{n-1}$ .

We claim that  $\bar{g}_* : H_1(\mathbf{RP}^n) \rightarrow H_1(\mathbf{RP}^{n-1})$  is nontrivial. To see this, pick a basepoint  $b \in S^n$  and choose a 1-simplex  $\sigma : \Delta^1 \rightarrow S^n$  such that  $\sigma(e_0) = b$  and  $\sigma(e_1) = -b$ . The group  $H_1(\mathbf{RP}^n)$  is generated by the cycle  $p\sigma$ . The image of this cycle in  $H_1(\mathbf{RP}^{n-1})$  is represented by the loop  $gp\sigma$  at  $\bar{b} = pb$ , which is the image of the 1-simplex  $g\sigma$  joining  $gb$  to  $g(-b) = -g(b)$ . The class of this 1-simplex thus generates  $H_1(\mathbf{RP}^{n-1})$ .

Therefore  $\bar{g}$  is nontrivial in  $H_1(-; \mathbf{F}_2)$ , and hence also in  $H^1(-; \mathbf{F}_2)$ . Writing  $a_n$  for the generator of  $H^1(\mathbf{RP}^n; \mathbf{F}_2)$ , we must have  $a_n = g^*a_{n-1}$ , and consequently  $a_n^n = (g^*a_{n-1})^n = g^*(a_{n-1}^n)$ . But  $H^n(\mathbf{RP}^{n-1}; \mathbf{F}_2) = 0$ , so  $a_{n-1}^n = 0$ ; while  $a_n^n \neq 0$ . This is a contradiction.  $\square$

# Bibliography

- [1] M. G. Barratt and J. Milnor, An example of anomalous singular homology, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 13 (1962) 293–297.
- [2] G. Bredon, *Topology and Geometry*, Springer-Verlag, 1993.
- [3] A. Dold, *Lectures on Algebraic Topology*, Springer-Verlag, 1980.
- [4] S. Eilenberg and J. C. Moore, Homology and fibrations, I: Coalgebras, cotensor product and its derived functors, Comment. Math. Helv. 40 (1965) 199–236.
- [5] S. Eilenberg and N. Steenrod, *Foundations of Algebraic Topology*, Princeton University Press, 1952.
- [6] A. Hatcher, *Algebraic Topology*, Cambridge University Press, 2002.
- [7] D. Kan, Adjoint functors, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 87 (1958) 294–329.
- [8] J. Milnor, On axiomatic homology theory, Pacific J. Math 12 (1962) 337–341.
- [9] J. C. Moore, On the homotopy groups of spaces with a single non-vanishing homology group, Ann. Math. 59 (1954) 549–557.
- [10] C. T. C Wall, Finiteness conditions for CW complexes, Ann. Math. 81 (1965) 56–69.

MIT OpenCourseWare  
<https://ocw.mit.edu>

18.905 Algebraic Topology I  
Fall 2016

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: <https://ocw.mit.edu/terms>.