

4 Categorical language

Let Vect_k be the category of vector spaces over a field k , and linear transformations between them. Given a vector space V , you can consider the dual $V^* = \text{Hom}(V, k)$. Does this give us a functor? If you have a linear transformation $f : V \rightarrow W$, you get a map $f^* : W^* \rightarrow V^*$, so this is like a functor, but the induced map goes the wrong way. This operation does preserve composition and identities, in an appropriate sense. This is an example of a *contravariant functor*.

I'll leave it to you to spell out the definition, but notice that there is a univocal example of a contravariant functor out of a category $\mathcal{C} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{op}$, where \mathcal{C}^{op} has the same objects as \mathcal{C} , but $\mathcal{C}^{op}(X, Y)$ is declared to be the set $\mathcal{C}(Y, X)$. The identity morphisms remain the same. To describe the composition in \mathcal{C}^{op} , I'll write f^{op} for $f \in \mathcal{C}(Y, X)$ regarded as an element of $\mathcal{C}^{op}(X, Y)$; then $f^{op} \circ g^{op} = (g \circ f)^{op}$.

Then a contravariant functor from \mathcal{C} to \mathcal{D} is the same thing as a (“covariant”) functor from \mathcal{C}^{op} to \mathcal{D} .

Let \mathcal{C} be a category, and let $Y \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{C})$. We get a map $\mathcal{C}^{op} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ that takes $X \mapsto \mathcal{C}(X, Y)$, and takes a map $X \rightarrow W$ to the map defined by composition $\mathcal{C}(W, Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(X, Y)$. This is called the functor *represented by* Y . It is very important to note that $\mathcal{C}(-, Y)$ is contravariant, while, on the other hand, for any fixed X , $\mathcal{C}(X, -)$ is a covariant functor (and is said to be “corepresentable” by X).

Example 4.1. Recall that the simplex category Δ has objects the totally ordered sets $[n] = \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$, with order preserving maps as morphisms. The “standard simplex” gives us a functor $\Delta : \Delta \rightarrow \mathbf{Top}$. Now fix a space X , and consider

$$[n] \mapsto \mathbf{Top}(\Delta^n, X).$$

This gives us a contravariant functor $\Delta \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$, or a covariant functor $\Delta^{op} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$. This functor carries in it all the face and degeneracy maps we discussed earlier, and their compositions. Let us make a definition.

Definition 4.2. Let \mathcal{C} be any category. A *simplicial object* in \mathcal{C} is a functor $K : \Delta^{op} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$. Simplicial objects in \mathcal{C} form a category with natural transformations as morphisms. Similarly, *semi-simplicial object* in \mathcal{C} is a functor $\Delta_{inj}^{op} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$,

So the singular functor Sin_* gives a functor from spaces to simplicial sets (and so, by restriction, to semi-simplicial sets).

I want to interject one more bit of categorical language that will often be useful to us.

Definition 4.3. A morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ in a category \mathcal{C} is a *split epimorphism* (“split epi” for short) if there exists $g : Y \rightarrow X$ (called a section or a splitting) such that the composite $Y \xrightarrow{g} X \xrightarrow{f} Y$ is the identity.

Example 4.4. In the category of sets, a map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a split epimorphism exactly when, for every element of Y there exists some element of X whose image in Y is the original element. So f is surjective. Is every surjective map a split epimorphism? This is equivalent to the axiom of choice! because a section of f is precisely a choice of $x \in f^{-1}(y)$ for every $y \in Y$.

Every categorical definition is accompanied by a “dual” definition.

Definition 4.5. A map $g : Y \rightarrow X$ is a *split monomorphism* (“split mono” for short) if there is $f : X \rightarrow Y$ such that $f \circ g = 1_Y$.

Example 4.6. Again let $\mathcal{C} = \mathbf{Set}$. Any split monomorphism is an injection: If $y, y' \in Y$, and $g(y) = g(y')$, we want to show that $y = y'$. Apply f , to get $y = f(g(y)) = f(g(y')) = y'$. But the injection $\emptyset \rightarrow Y$ is a split monomorphism only if $Y = \emptyset$. So there’s an asymmetry in the category of sets.

Lemma 4.7. *A map is an isomorphism if and only if it is both a split epimorphism and a split monomorphism.*

Proof. Easy! □

The importance of these definitions is this: Functors will not in general respect “monomorphisms” or “epimorphisms,” but:

Lemma 4.8. *Any functor sends split epis to split epis and split monos to split monos.*

Proof. Apply F to the diagram establishing f as a split epi or mono. □

Example 4.9. Suppose $\mathcal{C} = \mathbf{Ab}$, and you have a split epi $f : A \rightarrow B$. Let $g : B \rightarrow A$ be a section. We also have the inclusion $i : \ker f \rightarrow A$, and hence a map

$$[g \ i] : B \oplus \ker f \rightarrow A.$$

I leave it to you to check that this map is an isomorphism, and to formulate a dual statement.

MIT OpenCourseWare
<https://ocw.mit.edu>

18.905 Algebraic Topology I
Fall 2016

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: <https://ocw.mit.edu/terms>.